

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

JENNIFER MAZZARELLO,  
Plaintiff

v.

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Defendant

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

Civil No. AMD 02-3576

...o0o...

O R D E R

This case came before the Court for a hearing on the pending motion. Counsel having been heard, and the Court having rendered its ruling on the record, namely, that plaintiff failed to exhaust her administrative remedies in a timely fashion because she filed her charge of discrimination 303 days after the latest ostensible act of discrimination or harassment (which act was not, in any event, as a matter of law, discriminatory or harassing), it is this 24<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2003, ORDERED

(1) The motion for summary judgment filed by Lucent Technologies, Inc. (Paper No. 9) is GRANTED and JUDGMENT IS ENTERED IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT;

(2) The Clerk shall CLOSE THIS CASE.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
ANDRE M. DAVIS  
United States District Judge